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Abstract

Objective: To present the development of an adapted version of the Boston Naming Test for Portuguese speakers, and to investigate the effects of age, education and gender on both the original and the adapted Boston Naming Test in respect of Brazilian Portuguese speakers. Method: Eighty items, including the 60 original ones and 20 adapted items were administered to 739 healthy Brazilian subjects aged between 6 and 77 years who received 0 to 17 years of education. Results: The coefficients of the General Linear Model estimation suggested that both age and education were statistically significant to predict total scores. In addition, score variances, justified by such predictors, were 41.20% in the original Boston Naming Test against 25.84% in the adapted Boston Naming Test. These results suggest that the scores from the original BNT are more dependent on age and education than those from the adapted Boston Naming Test. Conclusion: These findings demonstrated the suitability of the adapted Boston Naming Test version for the Brazilian population and described provisional norms for the original and adapted Boston Naming Test for Portuguese speakers.
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Introduction

The Boston Naming Test (BNT)1 is a well known neuropsychological instrument, which investigates language abilities including naming or word retrieval. It is used to investigate children, adults and elderly subjects with different clinical pathologies including communication disorders, aphasia and dementia or acquired brain lesions. In this test, the examiner presents a number of black and white line drawings of different pictures (house, harp, compass and abacus) which the patient is required to spontaneously name in twenty seconds. If the subject produces an incorrect answer or no answer, the examiner gives a semantic prompt related to the picture. If the subject produces a wrong answer or no answer within twenty seconds, a phonemic cue is provided with the first syllable of the correct word corresponding to the picture.

There is evidence that age affects performance on the BNT. For example, a previous study2 demonstrated that age accounted for
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9% of the variance in test scores in Caucasians, and 3% in African Americans in a sample of adult subjects aged 20-85 years. It is of note that scores gradually improve in childhood and continue to increase up until the fourth decade of life.\(^{2,6}\) In terms of gender, most studies reported that this variable has no significant effect on performance although few authors have found that male scores are higher than female scores, especially in older samples.\(^{2,7,8}\) One possible explanation for this is the presence of a higher number of male-biased items in the BNT including, for example, ‘compass’, ‘abacus’, ‘tripod’, ‘yoke’.

A number of studies have demonstrated that education affects scores and accounts for about 10% to 13% of the variance.\(^{6,9}\) It is possible that the more years of education a subject has, the longer he or she is exposed to a wider vocabulary and, consequently, is more likely to achieve a higher score.

Adaptations and normative data from new populations are still needed, including from Portuguese speakers. There is no published version of the BNT for children, adults and elderly native Portuguese speakers apart from one study\(^{10}\) that included 133 adult Portuguese speaking Brazilian subjects aged 28-70 years from a specific State or regional area (Sao Paulo) using the translated original BNT. In this study, subjects with a higher educational level performed significantly better, particularly those with 9 or more years of education.

The aims of the current study were: 1) to describe the development of an adapted version of the BNT suitable for Portuguese speakers and 2) to investigate the effects of age, education and gender on the scores of both the original and the adapted version of the BNT as applied to Brazilian Portuguese speakers.

Method

1. Participants

A total of 739 healthy native Portuguese speaking Brazilian individuals were included in the current study (433 males and 306 females). They were proportionally selected from 15 different States in Brazil covering the northern, southern, eastern and western regions of the country, including urban and rural areas. They were recruited from elderly clubs and associations, schools, job centers, family members and voluntary works. Their ages ranged from 6 to 77 years old (M = 38.24, SD = 21.19) and years of education from 0 (illiterate) to 17 years of education (M = 7.46, SD = 5.26) (graduates or post-graduates). Each participant was screened in the presence of a family member or caretaker for current or past neurological or psychiatric disorders by means of a detailed clinical semi-structured interview (information about socio-demographic variables, cognitive functioning, present or past neurological or psychiatric treatment) and the Self Report Questionnaire (SRQ). Exclusion criteria included current or past cognitive complaint, medication for any neurological or psychiatric disorder (antipsychotic, antiepileptic, antidepressive or anxiety medication), and present or past abuse or daily use of alcohol or illicit drugs. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Division of Psychology and Department of Neurology, Hospital das Clinicas, Universidade de Sao Paulo (CAPPESQ 086/06).

2. Instruments

1) Development of the Adapted Boston Naming Test

Firstly, the English target words of the scoring booklet were translated using a standard English-Portuguese dictionary and subsequently back-translated by a different person. From a total of 60 words related to each of the 60 pictures, 20 were identified as less frequent words. In order to replace these words with semantic similar ones with the same level of complexity and frequency, a number of Portuguese words were selected from books, newspapers and television, a procedure used before.\(^{2,9}\) The twenty new words and their corresponding pictures were selected and placed in the same order as the original ones after considering their frequency, ambiguity, familiarity and similarity to the original picture (19.’Pretzel’ was replaced by ‘Bolo’ (Cake); 28.’Wreath’ by ‘Buquê’ (Bouquet); 29.’Beaver’ by ‘Tamandua’ (Tamandua); 31.’Rhinoceros’ by ‘Elefante’ (Elephant); 32.’Acorn’ by ‘Cajá’ (Cashew); 38.’Harp’ by ‘Violino’ (Violin); 40.’Knocker’ by ‘Cuco’ (Cuckoo); 41.’Pelican’ by ‘Peru’ (Turkey); 42.’Stethoscope’ by ‘Termômetro’ (Thermometer); 43.’Pyramid’ by ‘Cristo Redentor’ (Christ of Redeemer); 45.’Unicorn’ by ‘Saciferê’ (Character from the Brazilian folklore); 49.’Asparagus’ by ‘Beteraba’ (Beetroot); 50.’Compass’ by ‘Grampeador’ (Stapler); 52. ‘Tripod’ by ‘Trena’ (Measuring tape); 53.’Scroll’ by ‘Lupa’ (Magnifying glass); 55.’Sphinx’ by ‘Pão de Açúcar’ (Sugarloaf); 56.’Yoke’ by ‘Estribo’ (Stirrup); 58.’Palete’ by ‘Estojo/Caixa de Lapis’ (Pencil box); 59.’Prortractor’ by ‘Furadeira’ (Drill); 60.’Abacus’ by ‘Ampulheta’ (Hourglass). During the application of the BNT, the new words were presented immediately after the original ones, encompassing a total of 80 words. For the purposes of result analyses, the two versions i.e., the original and the adapted BNT were considered separately, each featuring a total score of 60 correct responses.

3. Statistical analysis

The effects of age, education and gender on the total scores of the original and adapted BNT tests were statistically evaluated using the General Linear Model (GLM)\(^{11}\) estimated by weighted least squares (due to heterokedasticity proportional to education). The percentage of scores variance, which could be explained by gender, age and education was quantified by adjusted R2 coefficient of GLM. Partial effects of each variable on total scores were measured by the R2 coefficient of pairwise regression analysis (including polynomial terms for age and education).

Results

The average of the total scores for both the original and the adapted BNT were dependent on age and years of education. In the original BNT, the coefficient analyses demonstrated that age accounted for 0.8%, years of education for 37.2% and gender for 0.6% of the variance in test scores (coefficient R2 in a single variable regression model). For the adapted BNT, age accounted...
for 3%, education for 23.5% and gender for 2.3% of the variance in test scores. In the original BNT the variables age, education and gender accounted for 41.16% of the variance in the test scores, whereas in the adapted BNT the same variables accounted for 27.80%. In terms of gender, female scores were, on average, 2.01 higher than male scores in the original BNT (p = 0.004) and 3.81 in the adapted BNT (p = 0.001).

Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations of the total scores for the original and the adapted BNT applied to subjects. The total scores for the adapted BNT were on average 6.48 points higher than the original BNT (t-test p-value < 0.001). In the adapted BNT, participants achieved a total score of 45.6 (SD = 15.6) and in the original BNT 39.3 (SD = 14.3).

**Discussion**

The main results showed an effect of gender, education and age on the total scores, especially on the original BNT. Younger subjects and those with lower educational level produced, in general, fewer correct responses in comparison to adult and older subjects with a higher educational level. For both the adapted and the original BNT, scores improved as the number of years of education increased. This can be explained by the fact that subjects with higher educational levels are more exposed to information, reading material and knowledge about cultural and linguistic features of the Portuguese and English languages. Previous studies have shown similar findings with respect to the effects of age and educational level on BNT scorings.2-10 In terms of gender, our findings differed from those of previous studies conducted with elderly subjects where males showed a performed better than females.2,7 In the present study, the sample included children, adults and elderly subjects, thus covering a broad spectrum of ages and years of education. However, future studies with a similar number of male and female subjects covering a range of different ages and levels of education.
education are needed to further investigate this. Illiterate subjects also performed better on the adapted BNT, particularly in the age group 65-74 and 75-77, and their performance was similar to that of subjects with 1-6 years of education. In our study, results in the original BNT were similar to those found in a previous study with subjects with less than 9 years of education.

These findings demonstrate the importance of carrying out normative studies that are culture and language-specific using large samples comprised of individuals with different ages and educational levels. The current results suggest that the adapted BNT might be more appropriate for clinical application in the Portuguese speaking population. Nevertheless, future studies will confirm the clinical validity of this version in various patient populations.
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