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Abstract  Round timber shows great potential for use as a building material, having the advantage of not being processed, such as the sawn wood. In Brazil, the normative standards that deal with the existing round timber elements are mainly headed for the pole market, being in force for at least twenty years without technical review, recommending characterization of this material by destructive methods, using small specimens with no defects and a cantilever beam structural model[2]. This paper aims to determine the longitudinal modulus of elasticity of *Pinus caribaea* structural round timber beams using static three-point bending test under physical and geometrical linearity conditions (non-destructive methodology) which evaluates the effect of the L/200 and L/300 displacement measurements for this purpose[6]. The results achieved by the confidence interval show the statistical equivalence between the values of the modulus of elasticity, being possible in this case, the use of both limits in displacement measurements.
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1. Introduction

The use of wood as a structural element in Brazil has grown over the last years due to researches headed for turning it into a more competitive material in comparison with other materials used in structure construction, such as steel and concrete([1]). However, the existence of gaps in the national standards combined with the lack of knowledge by building professionals about its mechanical properties contribute to limit its potential for use in constructions, being found mostly in the form of struts, concrete formworks and so on.

Among the many solutions wood can offer its outstanding use as natural or round form, having the great advantage of not being processed, as it is the case of sawn wood, which can work as structural elements such as beams, columns, aerial electric distribution poles and others. However, the national standard that deal with round timber elements are mainly headed for the pole market, being in force for at least twenty years without technical revision.

The Brazilian standard NBR 6231[2] prescribes the clamped cantilever beam model for the calculus of the longitudinal modulus of elasticity, which is a destructive method.

The Brazilian standard NBR 8456[3] only sets conditions for preparing and receiving Eucalyptus poles preserved under pressure, which is commonly used in overhead power distribution networks.

The Brazilian standard NBR 8457[4] describes poles of preserved Eucalyptus to be used in overhead power distribution networks, specifying the pole length, type, nominal strength, maximum deflection, length among others.

Technical standard NBR 6122[5] recommends the use of standard NBR 7190[6] to calculate the strength of timber piles, the latter being limited to destructive tests using small and clear specimens, even being convenient the use of the structural piece to determine their mechanical properties.

In countries where wood is traditionally used, it is possible to find a wide range of standards for many different purposes. Not only, the extensively use of wood through years but also the research experiences contribute to the large amount of information found in those documents.

Characterization, specification and standardization of experimental methods for structural-sized pieces of wood are usually done based on international standards[7-11]. According to these standards, the modulus of elasticity can be calculated by either using three and four point bending or
clamped cantilever beam methods. It is noteworthy that equations used to determine the modulus of elasticity of round elements consider the hypothesis of truncated-conical geometry, based on one or two circumference values which are used to calculate its moment of inertia.

With focus on the use and study of strength and stiffness properties of structural round timber, a number of studies can be mentioned[12-23].

Miná[22] has shown a theoretical and experimental study of timber piles, including the instrumentation for timber pile foundations of a wooden bridge, in order to generate design recommendations for short-span wooden bridges. An equation to calculate the modulus of elasticity of round elements based on the Virtual Work Principle (VWP) was also presented, considering the basis, medium and top diameter values measured along the piece.

Zangiácomo and Rocco[23] evaluated the L/D ratio between length (L) and diameter (D) for correctly applying the Euler-Bernoulli theory beam to Pinus elliottii and Pinus caribea round timber structural elements, finding acceptable ratios of 12 and 15 respectively. Pinus pieces showing these ratios or higher values are free from shear influence on the deflection calculus.

Characterization of structural-sized wood elements was also performed by non-destructive tests, aimed at determining the physical and mechanical properties of a structural element without changing its usability[14]. Non-destructive testing has the advantage of not needing specimen extraction, enabling the study of its structural integrity[24,25], which is normally done by transverse vibration and ultrasound techniques[26-35].

As explained above, normative characterization of round wood structural pieces is carried out by using destructive methods. Non-destructive methods are basically ultrasound and transverse vibration, which do not require the use of mechanical testing. This study aims to present a non-destructive approach to determine the longitudinal modulus of elasticity of round timber structural beams, based on the three-point static bending test under small-displacement conditions. The L/200 and L/300 ratios were used as displacement limiting values, being L the pieces length, expressed in centimetre (cm).

2. Materials and Methods

In order to determine the longitudinal modulus of elasticity, 16 round pieces of Pinus caribaea were used, having an average length of 580 cm and an average taper of 0.01.

Round pieces obey the L/D_{eq}=21 ratio[23] to validate the use of the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory, being L the length and D_{eq} the equivalent diameter, measured at the midpoint of the element, assuming that the sections are perfectly circular, the diameters vary linearly along its length and the maximum displacement of the element which occurs at the point of application of the force (small taper).

In this study, the modulus of elasticity is calculated by Equation 1, which is derived from the three-point static bending structural model (Figure 1), adapted from Brazilian standard[6] for circular section structural pieces, neglecting the load and displacement variations, being (F) the force found for the (δ) L/300 and L/200 displacements. It must be highlighted that the L/200 ratio is the measurement defined by standard[6] that ensures physical and geometrical linearity for wooden pieces (small displacement), whereas the L/300 is a more conservative measurement, quoted to replace the L/200 measurement in the new version of standard[6].

Statistical equivalence between the values found from E_{L/300} (longitudinal modulus of elasticity calculated for the L/300 measurement) and E_{L/200} (longitudinal modulus of elasticity calculated for the L/200 measurement) for structural round timber is evaluated by using a confidence interval for the medians, which is expressed by Equation 2, being µ the difference between population means, \( \bar{x}_m \) the difference between sample means, n the sample size, \( S_m \) the difference between sample standard deviations, and \( t_{a/2,n-1} \) the tabulated value from Student’s t-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom and significance level α.

\[
\bar{x}_m - t_{a/2,n-1} \cdot S_m / \sqrt{n} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}_m + t_{a/2,n-1} \cdot S_m / \sqrt{n}
\]  

Anderson-Darling test was used to see whether the sets of values for the modulus of elasticity were normally distributed, validating the confidence interval presented herein.

3. Results and Conclusions

The moduli of elasticity of E_{L/300} and E_{L/200} founded for Pinus caribaea structural round timber are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peças</th>
<th>E_{L/300} (MPa)</th>
<th>E_{L/200} (MPa)</th>
<th>Peças</th>
<th>E_{L/300} (MPa)</th>
<th>E_{L/200} (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6324</td>
<td>6819</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6502</td>
<td>6102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8689</td>
<td>8555</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9527</td>
<td>9121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9521</td>
<td>9931</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8675</td>
<td>8972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8783</td>
<td>8466</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8322</td>
<td>8056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7468</td>
<td>7927</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6584</td>
<td>6936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7430</td>
<td>7889</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10206</td>
<td>9570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8257</td>
<td>7693</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9481</td>
<td>9079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7688</td>
<td>7920</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6539</td>
<td>6924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7321</td>
<td>6839</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5820</td>
<td>5977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values founded for the moduli of elasticity E_{L/300} and E_{L/200} are respectively 0.521 and 0.679, both greater than 0.05, confirming the hypothesis of normal distribution and validating the use of the confidence interval[36], as shown in Figure 2.
The graph of linear regression between the elasticity values for the two displacement limits is shown in Figure 3, whose adjustment equation and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) are respectively $r(x) = 0.85 \cdot x + 1201.11$ and 0.89.

The confidence interval between $E_{L/300}$ and $E_{L/200}$ is $-800.45 \leq \mu \leq 862.77$, being statistically equivalent by the relevance of zero to the subset found. This implies that static three-point bending testing combined with the use of Equation 1 under the $L/300$ and $L/200$ displacement conditions led to equivalent values for the modulus of elasticity of the evaluated timbers. This result cannot be extended to other wood species, further research is still needed.

In general terms, the use of the three-point static bending test appears as an alternative way to determine the longitudinal modulus of elasticity of round timber structural beams, since it imposes less operational difficulties than those of the cantilever beam clamped model, as proposed by the Brazilian standard[2].

The ratio $L/D_{eq} \geq 21$[23] allows to use the Euler Bernoulli beam theory to calculate the deflections with no shear effect which were used to determine the modulus of elasticity. In addition it was possible to use the three point bending test, even when shear efforts exist at the applied load.

The restriction of displacements ($L/200$ and $L/300$) provide the use of non-destructive methodology to determine the longitudinal modulus of elasticity of timber beams, instead of the Brazilian standard[6] which is based on a destructive method using small sample size.
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