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ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyze anteroposterior soft tissue facial parameters for a sample of white Brazilian adults and to compare these measurements with the values proposed for white North American adults.

Materials and Methods: Facial profile photographs were taken of 59 white Brazilians (30 men and 29 women) with normal occlusions and balanced faces with ages ranging from 18 to 30 years. The independent Student's t-test (P < .05) was used to compare the soft tissue parameters of the Brazilians with those of the North Americans.

Results: White Brazilian women presented a less protruded face compared with white American women except for the glabella region. White Brazilian women showed a smaller nasal projection, less protruded upper and lower lips, a more obtuse nasolabial angle, and a smaller projection of the B point and chin than white American women. Conversely, the two male groups demonstrated less evident soft tissue profile differences, with the exception of the nose projection, which was smaller in white Brazilian men than in white American men.

Conclusions: A universal standard of facial esthetic is not applicable to diverse white populations. Differences regarding the soft tissue profile features were found between white Brazilians and white Americans. These differences should be considered in the orthodontic/orthognathic surgery diagnosis and treatment plan for white Brazilians together with the patient's individual opinion and perception of beauty.
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INTRODUCTION

Although numerous previous attempts were made to identify an esthetic facial ideal, contemporary orthodontics recognizes the ethnic and personal diversity of human facial contours. A large number of studies demonstrated the presence of specific dentofacial characteristics in each ethnic group, leading professionals to consider these differences in orthodontic and surgical diagnosis and treatment planning. Remarkable differences have been identified in both skeletal features and soft tissue profile between white Americans/Europeans and African-Americans, Koreans, Japanese, and Chinese. Facial differences between white populations of distinct continents or countries have been reported previously also.

Among the several numeric facial analyses currently employed, the analysis proposed by Arnett et al has been used broadly by orthodontists and maxillofacial surgeons. However, the measurements proposed for these analyses were achieved based on a white American sample and may not be applicable as a reference for diagnosis and treatment of other ethnic groups. White Americans descend mostly from English, Polish, Dutch, and French populations. Scottish, Spanish, and Scandinavian populations are also part of the immigration history of North America. On the other hand, white Brazilians come from the Mediterranean countries, primarily Portugal, Italy, and Spain. Considering these background differences, the facial standards for white Brazilians might be distinct from the norms of white Americans. Scavone et al also demonstrated...
significant differences between Japanese Brazilians and white Americans, as measured by the seven soft tissue facial profile normative values proposed by Arnett et al.22 The results of this recent study emphasize the importance of determining reference values specific for each ethnic group.

Therefore, the goals of this study were to establish, on the basis of facial profile photographs, some reference values for seven anteroposterior soft tissue variables in a sample of white Brazilian adults with normal occlusions and well-balanced faces, and also to compare these values with those proposed by Arnett et al.22

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample included 59 white Brazilian adults (30 men and 29 women) prospectively selected by two Brazilian orthodontists on the basis of the following criteria: age from 18 to 30 years; presenting clinically normal occlusions24 (accepting minor crowding); showing orthognathic profiles, closed lip posture (lip sealing), and facial symmetry; no previous orthodontic treatment; no history of trauma or plastic or orthognathic surgery.

Standardized facial profile photographs were taken with the patient in the natural head position (NHP),25–29 centric relation, and relaxed lip posture.29 All photographs were taken with a Yashica Dental Eye III camera mounted on a tripod, leveled with the optical axis of the lens horizontal and the film plane vertical. The subjects were positioned standing at 1.7 meters from the camera in a mechanical device used to provide both a vertical reference line perpendicular to the floor plane (true vertical line) and a real metric scale during image measurement.

Photographs were digitized with a Microsoft computer (Microsoft Corporation) that used Deskscan II version 2.9 software and a Hewlett Packard Scanjet 4C image scanner (Hewlett-Packard Development Company) with 300 DPI resolution. Images were transferred to the Radiocef 2000 software (Radio Memory, Belo Horizonte, Brazil) where landmark identification (Figure 1) was performed by a single calibrated investigator, and soft tissue profile variables (Figure 2) were measured. The true vertical line (TVL) was originally represented by the metric scale perpendicular to the floor. Later, it was transferred directly over the computerized image of the soft tissue facial profile, passing through subnasale (Sn). The image/actual size ratio was calculated by means of the same software, having the metric scale image as a reference parameter.

The mean and standard deviation of each variable were determined for male and female subgroups. In-

Figure 1. Soft tissue points used in the facial profile evaluation: glabella (G'), nasal tip (NT), columnella (C), subnasale (Sn), upper lip anterior (ULA), lower lip anterior (LLA), soft tissue B point (B'), and soft tissue pogonion (Pog').

Figure 2. Linear measurements (true vertical line [TVL] projections). TVL–G': horizontal distance from TVL to glabella point; TVL–NT: horizontal distance from TVL to nasal tip point; TVL–ULA: horizontal distance from TVL to upper lip anterior point; TVL–LLA: horizontal distance from TVL to lower lip anterior point; TVL–B': horizontal distance from TVL to soft tissue B point; TVL–Pog': horizontal distance from TVL to soft tissue pogonion point. The TVL is perpendicular to the floor plane and passes through the subnasale point (Sn).
dependent \( t \)-tests were used to compare male-female differences and to compare the white Brazilian sample values with those originally proposed by Arnett et al\(^{22} \) for a white American sample. Results were regarded as significant for \( P < .05 \).

In order to assess the method error, 20 photographs of the sample were randomly selected and measured again 45 days after the first evaluation. Casual and systematic errors were calculated comparing the first and second measurements with Dahlberg’s formula\(^{30} \) and dependent \( t \)-test, respectively, at a significance level of 0.05.

A method was also developed to assess eventual distortions in the photographic images and the corresponding measurements. With this purpose, millimetric scales were fixed horizontally and vertically in the mechanical device utilized to provide the reference vertical line (true vertical line). Subsequently, 10 photographs were taken of these millimetric scales, their images transferred to Radiocef 2000 software and 12 segments of 100 mm were measured with this software. The measurements obtained by the computerized method, based on the photographic images of the millimetric scales, were compared to the real known size of the segments, ie, 100 mm. The differences between the computerized measurements and the actual size measured defined the distortions of the photographic images in the 12 regions evaluated in the photographic area, horizontally and vertically.

**RESULTS**

None of the variables had statistically significant systematic errors. The causal errors ranged from 0.52 (TVL–LLA) to 0.58 (TVL–B’), with the exception of NLA, which showed a more considerable error of 4.04.

The image/actual size ratio of the photographs corresponded to 1:2.8. Linear measurements were converted and are presented as the actual dimensions. The distortions of the photographic measurements were extremely reduced and never exceeded 0.76% in any of the directions evaluated.

**Sexual Dimorphism**

Table 1 presents the mean and standard deviation of measurements for the male and female white Brazilian samples. The soft tissue profile variables that showed significant sexual dimorphism were TLV-NT and TLV-ULA. The male subjects had a slightly greater nasal projection (mean difference: 1.4 mm) and larger upper lip protrusion (mean difference: 1 mm) compared with the female subjects.

**Table 1.** Comparison of White-Brazilian Facial Profile Variables Between Female and Male Subjects (\( t \)-Test)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Female (n = 29)</th>
<th>Male (n = 30)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TVL-G’</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−7.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-NT</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-ULA</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-LLA</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-B’</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-Pog’</td>
<td>−6.4</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLA</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *n* indicates number of patients; SD, standard deviation; NS, not significant.
* \( P < .05 \); ** \( P < .01 \); *** \( P < .001 \).

**White Brazilian/White American Comparisons**

All evaluated soft tissue variables showed statistical significant differences between white Brazilian and white American female subjects (Table 2). White Brazilian women presented with the glabella more anteriorly positioned, smaller nasal projection, less protruded upper and lower lips, and a more obtuse nasolabial angle compared with white American women. Additionally, a smaller projection of the B’ point and chin, in relation to the true vertical line, was noted in the white Brazilian women.

Among the seven soft tissue variables analyzed, only one demonstrated a significant difference between white Brazilian and white American male subjects (Table 3). The nasal projection (TVL-NT) was smaller in the white Brazilian men compared with the white American men with a mean difference of 2.1 mm. Although no statistically significant differences had been recorded between the two male groups regarding the other variables, white Brazilian men showed a tendency toward a less protruded face in all facial levels analyzed, except for the mentolabial sulcus (Table 3).
Table 3. Male Comparisons Between White-Brazilian (n = 30) and White-American (n = 30) Samples (t-Test)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TVL-G'</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-NT</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-ULA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-LLA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-B'</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVL-Pog'</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLA</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*n indicates number of patients; SD, standard deviation; NS, not significant.

* P < .05; ** P < .01; *** P < .001.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was designed to establish reference values in a sample of white Brazilian adults for some soft tissue facial profile variables, and also to compare the results with normative values that were proposed by Arnett et al22 for white Americans. It is important to emphasize that the main inclusion criteria were normal occlusion and facial balance, which are not always related to beauty, and perception of which is subjective and depends on cultural trends.

Even though this study conducted a numeric analysis of the facial profile, standardized facial photographs were used instead of cephalometrics, since the Brazilian Ethical Committee does not allow radiographic exposure of patients for the exclusive purpose of investigation. Therefore, in order to compare the values achieved from the white Brazilian sample with those proposed by Arnett et al22 for white Americans, the reduction factor of the photographic image was calculated and the linear measurements were corrected for their actual values.

All photographs were digitized and measured by the same examiner, to eliminate the interexaminer error. Systematic errors of the evaluated variables were not statistically significant. Casual errors for most measurements were reduced and will not be addressed except for the nasolabial angle (mean = 4.04°), for which results should be interpreted with care. The magnitude of the NLA significant error may be assigned to the difficulty in identifying the points involved in this measurement, especially subnasale and columnella. Additionally, the proximity between the three points used in the construction of NLA might also contribute to this error. Despite the distortion of the photographic images and the corresponding measurement methods, the method adopted in this research was reliable since the maximum distortion verified never exceeded 0.76%.

Only two soft tissue variables presented statistically significant sexual dimorphism in the white Brazilian sample. White Brazilian male subjects showed a more prominent nose and a more protruded upper lip. In white American patients, Arnett et al,22 using the true vertical line as a reference, observed that male patients presented a more protruded nose and a less projected B’ point than female patients. Considering a different reference line (vertical line passing through porion) in the evaluation of the soft tissue profile, Lundström et al31 showed that white male patients had a greater projection of nasion, nose tip, upper and lower lips, point B’, and chin compared with white female patients.

The soft tissue profile features of white Brazilian women were quite distinct compared with white American women. All linear variables analyzed were smaller in the white Brazilian women showing that they have a smaller nose and a more retruded lower face than white American women (Table 2). Although a previous study on cephalometric standards for white Brazilians had shown more protruded incisors compared to white Americans,16 the results of this study pointed to more posteriorly positioned lips in the former. The upper and lower lips of white Brazilian women were respectively 2.4 and 2.9 mm less protruded than the white American women. The nasolabial angle was also more opened in the white Brazilian women confirming the retrusive profile pattern.

White Brazilian women also exhibited a smaller projection of B’ point and chin, with a mean difference of 2.5 and 3.8 mm, respectively, in relation to white American women. Differently from the women, white Brazilian men had just a few differences from white American men regarding the soft tissue profile characteristics. White Brazilian men showed a smaller nose and a less protruded upper lip than white American men, with a mean difference of 1.4 and 1.0 mm, respectively (Table 3).

These results are in accordance with other studies that also showed facial profile differences between different white groups. Erbay et al18 reported that Anatolian Turkish adults had more retrusive upper and lower lips compared with white American norms. Borman et al21 found a more convex facial profile and more closed nasolabial angle in Turkish adults than in other populations. Comparing Saudis and white Americans, Hashim and AlBarakati20 showed significant differences in most of the soft tissue variables evaluated. In addition, differences in dentoskeletal cephalometric norms were also found between white Americans and Greeks,14 white Brazilians,15 and white Europeans.19

On the other hand, analyzing some soft tissue profile variables, Lundström et al30 did not find differences between Swedish subjects and white Americans.

It must be stressed that many of the soft tissue profile differences found between the Brazilian and the
American samples may not be attributable only to ethnic differences, but also to examiners’ individual perceptions of facial balance. Although the Brazilian sample comprised adults with normal occlusions and balanced faces, this does not necessarily mean a high standard of facial beauty. In fact, probably it would be better to say that this sample included men and women with satisfactory facial balance. Similarly, the American sample was selected according to the personal judgment of just one of the authors. Of course, the American sample does not represent the average soft tissue facial profile of white Americans. In the same way, the selection of the Brazilian sample was also influenced by individual perceptions of the examiners. However, the purpose of this investigation was not to establish standards of beauty, but instead to provide some reference values that can be helpful for soft tissue facial profile evaluation.

In general, Brazilians exhibited a large variability of the soft tissue profile features, as shown by the high values of the standard deviation of the variables analyzed (Tables 2 and 3). This means that there is considerable diversity of the facial profile in patients with normal occlusions and well-balanced faces, and this point should be taken into account. In addition, it is also important to highlight that the results could have been different, especially regarding lip protrusion, if the sample had been selected on the basis of facial beauty by the public. Peck and Peck showed that the general public admires a more protrusive dentofacial pattern in relation to the cephalometric standards dictated. Auger and Turley and Nguyen and Turley concluded that there was a linear trend toward fuller and more anteriorly positioned lips in fashion magazines during the last century.

CONCLUSIONS

- Differences regarding the soft tissue profile features exist between white Brazilians and white Americans.
- A universal standard of facial profile esthetic is not applicable to diverse white populations.

REFERENCES


