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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To evaluate the sealing ability of five root canal sealers, including two experimental cements (MBP and MTA-Obtura) using the fluid filtration method. Material and Methods: Teeth were divided into 5 study groups: G1-AH Plus; G2-Acroseal; G3-Sealapex; G4-MBP; G5-MTA-Obtura; and two controls. Chemical-mechanical preparation was performed with ProFile rotary nickel-titanium instruments 1 mm short of the apical foramen. The sealing ability was evaluated by fluid filtration at 15, 30, and 60 days. Results: The statistical analysis showed significant difference between the materials at different periods (p<0.05). AH Plus and MBP had similar leakage values at 15 and 60 days, alternating with significant reduction at 30 days, while the other materials showed progressive increase in leakage values. Acroseal and Sealapex presented the best results at 15 days and the worst at 60 days. Conclusions: All sealers evaluated presented fluid leakage, with AH Plus and MBP showing the best results at the end of the experimental period. Acroseal, Sealapex, and MTA-Obtura presented increase in leakage values at longer observation periods.
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INTRODUCTION

Endodontic obturation comprises complete three-dimensional filling of the root canal system with materials that exhibit satisfactory physical and biological properties. Ideally, the filling material should adequately seal the root canal and simultaneously prevent fluid percolation into the root canal space, stimulate the resolution of periapical pathologies, and encourage deposition of cementum to achieve biological seal. Resin-based endodontic cements have received considerable attention from endodontists due to their good physical-chemical and biological properties. On the other hand, other sealers, namely those based on calcium hydroxide or calcium oxide or mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), present yet better biological properties. With this ability to produce a hermetrical seal. However, none of the currently available materials features all characteristics of the ideal sealer. Every year, a great number of new endodontic filling materials are developed with hopes of revolutionizing the endodontic obturation technique, but none of these materials have presented better results than the association of gutta-percha with conventional sealers.

Resin-based endodontic cements have received considerable attention from endodontists due to their good physical-chemical and biological properties. On the other hand, other sealers, namely those based on calcium hydroxide or calcium oxide or mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), present yet better biological properties. With this...
in mind, the incorporation of calcium hydroxide to resin-based cements has been proposed in order to improve their biological properties. Acraseal and MBP (experimental cement) are examples of these newer materials, which are essentially resin-based but contain a considerable amount of calcium hydroxide in their formulations.

MTA is highly biocompatible when used in pulp tomies, root perforations, and retrograde obstructions. However, due to its difficult manipulation and insertion, it is not routinely employed as a root canal filling material.

More recently, in an effort to incorporate the desirable biological properties of MTA into an easy to manipulate and to insert material, some manufacturers have added specific components to MTA-based cements. Some examples of materials resulting from this attempt are ProRoot Endo Sealer (Dentsply Tulsa Dental Specialties, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), C.P.M. Sealer (E.G.E.O. SRL, MTM Argentina S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina), and MTA-Obtura (Angelus, Angelus Odontológica, Londrina, PR, Brazil).

Presently, the literature is scarce on studies evaluating the physical and chemical properties of these new MTA-based sealers, as well as on the sealing ability of resin-based cements with the addition of calcium hydroxide (Acraseal and MBP). Therefore, the goal of the present study was to analyze the sealing ability of root canal fillings performed with gutta-percha and two resin-based cements with the addition of calcium hydroxide (Acroseal and MBP), and with an MTA-based sealer (MTA-Obtura), while simultaneously comparing the performance of these materials with conventional sealers (AH Plus and Sealapex) by the fluid filtration method at observation periods of 15, 30, and 60 days.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The study sample comprised 66 freshly extracted human mandibular premolars. Teeth with multiple canals, resorptions, fractures, and/or incomplete apex formation were excluded from the sample. This project was approved by the University Ethics Committee prior to the beginning of the experiments.

After coronal access, pulp remnants were removed with a #10 K-file (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and the presence of a single root canal was confirmed. The canals were initially explored using #15 hand-held K-files (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). Subsequently, teeth were numbered and their real canal lengths were determined by manually inserting #15 K-files into the canals, until the instrument tips were visible at the apical foramen with the aid of a clinical microscope (DF Vasconcellos, DF Vasconcellos, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) at 5x magnifying power. Working length was established 1.0 mm short of the real root canal length. When assessing the working length, roots showing lack of apical patency or foramen with diameter greater than 200 μm were replaced.

Before proceeding to the biomechanical preparation of the canals, all apical foramen were standardized with a #25 hand-held K-file. Following that, crown-down instrumentation was carried out using rotary nickel-titanium ProFile Orifice Shapers and instruments (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) until a #40/0.06 file was able to reach working length. At each file change, canals were irrigated with 1.0 mL of 2.5% NaOCl (Biodinamica, Biodinamica Química e Farmaceutica LTDA, Ibiporã, PR, Brazil). After instrumentation was completed, 3.0 mL of 17% EDTA (Biodinamica, Biodinamica Química e Farmaceutica LTDA, Ibiporã, PR, Brazil) were introduced and allowed to remain in the canals for 3 minutes. Next, a final flush with 1.0 mL of NaOCl followed by 5.0 mL of saline (Farmence Farmence Laboratórios, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil) was performed. Before obturating the canals, the crowns of the teeth were removed by sectioning with a diamond disk attached to an Isomet saw (Buehler, Buehler LTD, Lake Buff, IL, USA) at 300 rpm under irrigation, in order to standardize all specimens to a length of 17.0 mm. The root canals were then dried with paper points (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and randomly divided into five study groups (n=12) and two controls, one positive and one negative (n=3), as follows: G1 – AH Plus (Dentsply, Dentsply/DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany); G2 – Acroseal (Septodont, Septodont Spécialités, Saint-Maur des Fosses, France); G3 – Sealapex (Sybron Endo, Sybron Endo Specialties, Glendona, CA, USA); G4 – MBP (Bauru School of Dentistry-USP, Bauru, SP, Brazil); G5 – MTA-Obtura (Angelus, Angelus Odontológica, Londrina, PR, Brazil). Teeth in the positive control group were obturated with gutta-percha cones and no sealer, while those in the negative control were obturated in a manner similar to that described for G1 through G5.

All canals were filled with a single tapered gutta-percha cone #40/0.06 (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The sealers were manipulated according to manufacturers’ instructions and placed into the root canals with the aid of a #4 Lentulo spiral (Dentsply, Dentsply/Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) introduced 5.0 mm short of the working length and always carrying same amount of sealer. After that, the gutta-percha point was introduced up to the working length; the sealer extruded through the foramen was gently removed from the root surface with
gauche. Coronal access was sealed with Cimpat (Septodont, Septodont Specialités, Saint-Maur des Fosses, France) and teeth were kept in an oven at 37°C and 100% relative humidity throughout the study period. After 14 days, the specimens in the experimental and in the positive control groups had the external root surfaces completely covered with epoxy glue (Araldite, Brascola, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and two coats of nail polish (Colorama, L’Oréal, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), except for an area of 1.0 mm around the root apex. The specimens in the negative control group had their root surfaces completely covered.

Leakage was evaluated by the fluid filtration method employing a pressure equivalent to 10 psi, as described by Derkson, et al.5 (1986) and adapted for Endodontics by Wu and Wesselink30 (1993). Four measurements were recorded for each sample at 2-minute intervals for a total of 8 minutes at 15, 30, and 60 days after filling. The amount of leakage was expressed as μL/min−1.10 psi. Global comparisons of the leakage values in the different cement groups at each period were analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis test; whenever significant difference was detected, individual comparison was performed by the Miller test. Results for each cement at different periods were compared by the Friedman test. The significance level was set at 5% for all tests.

RESULTS

The mean and median leakage values, and standard deviations for the different sealers are presented in Table 1. Comparison between materials at the 15-day period revealed significant difference only between AH Plus and Sealapex. At the 30-day period, there were significant differences between Acroseal X AH Plus, Acroseal X MBP, AH Plus X Sealapex, and MBP X Sealapex. At the 60-day period, significant differences were observed for the following comparisons: Acroseal X MBP, Acroseal X

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sealers</th>
<th>15 days</th>
<th></th>
<th>30 days</th>
<th></th>
<th>60 days</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>s.d.</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>s.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH Plus</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.55±c</td>
<td>±0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14±c</td>
<td>±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acroseal</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.32±c</td>
<td>±0.23</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.46±c</td>
<td>±1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealapex</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26±c</td>
<td>±0.12</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.75±c</td>
<td>±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.54±c</td>
<td>±0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17±c</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA-Obtura</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.44bc</td>
<td>±0.46</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.45bc</td>
<td>±0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between sealers considering the periods (table columns) according to the Miller test (p<0.05).

Regarding the comparisons between periods for each cement, significant differences were observed for AH Plus and MBP between 15 and 30 days and between 30 and 60 days. For Acroseal, significant differences were found between the 15-day period compared to the 30 and 60-day periods. Sealapex showed significant difference only between the 15 and 60-day periods. As for MTA-Obtura, significant differences were observed between 15 and 60 days and between 30 and 60 days.

DISCUSSION

Achieving a hermetic seal by entirely filling the root canal space decreases the risk that microorganisms left in the canal might come in contact with oral or periapical fluids, a potential source of nutrition. This could lead to failure in the endodontic therapy, even years after treatment is completed10. Based on these facts, leakage studies are of crucial importance to evaluate the different factors involved in the process of root canal obturation.

Several methodologies have been proposed to establish the sealing ability of filling materials, such as linear dye leakage, polymicrobial leakage, diaphanization, radioisotope labeling and the electrochemical method10. Currently, the most widely accepted method is fluid filtration, proposed by Derkson, et al.5 (1986). This method, employed to establish the sealing ability of restorative materials by quantifying dentin permeability, was adapted by Wu and Wesselink30 (1993) for Endodontics. The main advantage of the fluid filtration technique is the possibility of preserving the specimens after each assay, allowing analysis at different study periods. Moreover, this method has proven to be sensitive and reproducible10.

In attempt to assure the uniformity of the specimens, teeth had their lengths and foramen
diameter standardized. Preparation with rotary endodontic files and obturation with a single-cone method using a tapered gutta-percha cone were also adopted in order to more closely simulate clinical procedures and to minimize operator variability.  

Analysis of the results for the different sealers revealed that although Sealapex had the lowest leakage values at 15 days, progressive increase was observed at 30 and 60 days, similarly to Acroseal and MTA-Obtura (Table 1). Sealapex has been employed since the 1980s. It presents volumetric expansion during setting due to water sorption caused by the presence of calcium oxide; this characteristic may increase its solubility, thus raising the risk of leakage over time. Other authors have also reported greater leakage values for this sealer.  

Acroseal contains an antiseptic (methenamine) and an anti-inflammatory drug (enoxolone) in addition to DGEBA epoxy resin and calcium hydroxide. Among the sealers investigated in this study, it presented the greatest leakage at 30 and 60 days. Previous studies refer to its moderate toxicity within acceptable clinical standards and adequate flowability and radiopacity, in accordance with ADA regulations. However, no investigations have addressed its sealing ability in order to allow comparison with the results from the present study. Eldeniz and Ørstavik (2009) and Pinheiro, et al. (2019) evaluated the sealing ability of Acroseal against bacterial leakage and observed satisfactory results, which differ from our observations. This divergence may be explained by the presence of methenamine and calcium hydroxide, substances with proven antiseptic potential, which may have hindered bacterial penetration in the above mentioned studies.  

No previous information was found in the literature regarding the sealing ability of MTA-Obtura. This sealer was developed by replacing saline with a liquid resin as cure initiator. The composition of the powder in this cement is similar to gray MTA Angelus, consisting of Portland cement clinker and bismuth oxide. The development of MTA-Obtura aimed at the achievement of an endodontic sealer combining the biological and sealing properties of MTA. This sealer presented very stable leakage values at 15 and 30 days, as expected for an MTA-based material. Its performance reproduced the good sealing ability of MTA as repair material. However, at 60 days MTA-Obtura exhibited a considerable increase in leakage.  

MBP sealer, an experimental material developed in the Department of Endodontics of the Bauru School of Dentistry, showed similar results to AH Plus, at all observation periods. At 15 days, AH Plus and MBP presented greater leakage values in comparison with the other materials evaluated. Nevertheless, these values remained stable throughout the experimental periods, differently from what was observed for Sealapex, Acroseal, and MTA-Obtura, which showed progressive increase in leakage over more extended experimental periods. At 60 days, AH Plus and MBP presented the lowest leakage values. These results are in agreement with previous reports for AH-Plus, which is known for its excellent sealing ability.

CONCLUSIONS

While all the sealers evaluated allowed fluid leakage, AH Plus and MBP showed the best results at longer observation periods. Acroseal, Sealapex, and MTA-Obtura showed progressively increased leakage over extended experimental periods.
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